

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/027,870	_	10/26/2001	Holger Warth	Mo-6717/LeA 34,668	1030	
157	7590	07/09/2003			$V \subset$	
	POLYMER	RS LLC	EXAMINER			
	ER ROAD RGH, PA	15205		BUTTNER, DAVID J		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1712		
				DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/
	10/027,870	WARTH ET AL.	,
Offic Action Summa	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Buttner	1712	
	ommunication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t - If the period for reply specified above is less that - If NO period for reply is specified above, the max - Failure to reply within the set or extended period	provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may this communication. In thirly (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the simum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) May for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become months after the mailing date of this communication, even	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on <u>29 May 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b) ☐ This action is non-final.		
	ondition for allowance except for formal n e practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (rits is
Disposition of Claims	e practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1955 (J.D. 11, 433 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending	in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	I.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte	d to.		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to	·		
	is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to b		
	any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abo		
	ion filed on is: a)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is obje			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1.	·		
	zo a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Nor		7. 8 119(a)-(u) or (i).	
· ·	priority documents have been received.		•
	priority documents have been received in	Application No.	`
·	copies of the priority documents have been	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u>م</u>
application from the	e International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) te action for a list of the certified copies n).	5
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional appli	ication).
	eign language provisional application has claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.		
Attachment(s)		·	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reg. Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-	eview (PTO-948) 5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 12	

Application/Control Number: 10/027,870

Art Unit: 1712

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 inconsistently allows for 50-99% methacrylic esters, but later limits the methacrylic esters to 1-50%. Which is intended?

Claims 1-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the Nodera '443 Patent.

Nodera exemplifies (Nos. 5, 6) blends of PC, HIPS, Metablen S2001, talc and other additives. Metablen S2001 is one of applicant's preferred silicone-acrylate grafts (page 15, line 24 of spec.). HIPS is-polymer based on styrene and qualifies as applicant's (B). Nodera (col. 4, line 63) also lists acrylonitrile/styrene copolymer as an alternative to HIPS. Glass fibers (col. 10, line 45) are listed as an alternative to talc.

Claims 1-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the WO 00/12629 Patent.

US 6403683 is relied on as a translation. The reference exemplifies (Nos. 9, 13) blends of PC, ABS, talc, Metablen S2001 and other additives. The ABS is based on styrene and acrylonitrile and therefore qualifies as (B). The reference also lists (col. 5, line 58) acrylonitrile/styrene copolymer as an alternative to ABS.

Claims 1-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Fujiguchi '201 Patent in view of Obayashi '914.

Application/Control Number: 10/027,870

Art Unit: 1712

Fujiguchi exemplifies (No. 1) a blend of PC, SAN, ABS and Metablen S2001.

Glass fiber (col. 9, line 21) can be included, but amounts are not specified.

Obayashi teaches glass fibers in amounts of 3-20% (col. 5, line 32) are effective in PC/metablen S2001 compositions. It would have been obvious to include 3-20% glass fibers in Fujiguchi's blend for reinforcement.

Applicant's arguments filed May 29, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues Nodera lacks vinyl polymer (B).

This is not convincing. The polystyrene grafted rubber of Nodera's examples is a polymer based on a vinyl monomer. Additionally, Nodera indicates styrene/acrylonitrile copolymer can be used in place of the polystyrene grafted rubber.

Applicant argues WO 00/12629 lacks vinyl polymer (B).

This is not convincing. The ABS of the examples is a polymer based on vinyl monomers. The reference also lists styrene/AN copolymer as an alternative to ABS.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/027,870

Art Unit: 1712

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Buttner whose telephone number is (703) 308-2403. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 305-7115 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

DAVID J. BUTTNER PRIMARY EXAMINER

D. Buttner/dh July 8, 2003 Doub Bither